

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,620	08/30/2001	Junichi Iwasaki	M72-135694M/MTV	6739	
30743 75	590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER		
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			WU, XIA	WU, XIAO MIN	
SUITE 340	I HILLS KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA 20190			2674		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/22/2004	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/941,620	IWASAKI, JUNICHI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	XIAO M. WU	2674		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 ∧ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 2-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 3-6 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 and 7-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See stion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US Patent No. 6,055,592) in view of Kniazzeh et al (US Patent No. 6,417,911).

As to claim 2, Smith discloses a mouse connected via an interface (204, Fig. 2) to a personal computer (212) comprising: a casing body (100), provided with a space (106) for housing a removable data storage medium (104) therein; the removable data storage medium being directly accessible as an auxiliary data storage medium by the personal computer via the mouse interface (e.g. the card data read from the card in the mouse system matches the card stored in the computer, the access control program grants access to the computer, see col. 6, lines 19-21, in other words the card is an auxiliary storage medium from the computer; also see col. 4, lines 60-67); a data processor (202, 204), which communicates data stored in the removable data storage medium bi-directional between the personal computer and the data storage medium housed in the casing body (e.g. the computer requests card data from a card reader interface and the card reader interface requests card data from a card in the card reader. Preferably, the card data is read from the card by the card reader and supplied to the converter via card reader interface, col. 4, lines 60-67).

Art Unit: 2674

It is noted that Smith does not disclose a door member which covers an opening formed on the casing body, through which the data storage medium in inserted or ejected, in a closed position thereof, wherein the door member constitutes a part of an outer face of casing body at the close positioned thereof, the door member being at the closed position after insertion or ejection of the data storage medium.

Kniazzeh is cited to teach a door apparatus (624, Fig. 6) for a memory card (28, Fig. 6). The door member (624) which covers an opening (26) formed on the casing body, through which the data storage medium in inserted or ejected, in a closed position thereof, wherein the door member constitutes a part of an outer face of the casing body at the closed position thereof, the door member being at the closed position after insertion or ejection of the data storage medium (see Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Smith with the features of the door for a memory card as taught by Kniazzeh so as to prevent dirt getting into the memory storage space (see Fig. 6).

As to claim 7, it would have been obvious to have included an urging member which urges the door member toward the closed position so that the memory card can be secured inside the housing.

As to claim 14, Smith discloses the mouse comprising a cable ((108).

3. Claims 8-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US Patent No. 6,055,592) in view of Kniazzeh et al (US Patent No. 6,417,911) as applied to claims 2, 7 above, and further in view of Buras, Jr. et al. (US Patent No. 5,559,672).

Art Unit: 2674

As to claim 8 and 9, it is noted that both Smith and Kniazzeh do not disclose a button member or ejector, to open the door member. Buras is cited discloses a door apparatus for memory card including a button member or ejector, which opens the door member against the urging force of the urging member when the button member is depressed (col. 6, lines 53-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Smith and Kniazzeh with the features of the button member as taught by Buras so as to provide an easy way to eject the memory card from the housing.

As to claim 10, 13, Buras discloses the ejector includes a slider (44, 46) which is supported within the casing so as to be slidable in an inserting/ejecting direction of the data storage medium.

As to claim 11, it would have been obvious to designed a slider which can be fitted for the size or the shape of the memory card.

4. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US Patent No. 6,055,592) in view of Kniazzeh et al (US Patent No. 6,417,911) and as applied to claims 2, 14 above, and further in view of Armstrong (US Patent No 6,198,473).

As to claims 15-17, it is noted that both Smith and Kniazzeh do specifically disclose the cable is connected t a USB or PS/2 standard connector. It is also noted that both Smith and Buras do not disclose that the mouse is a wireless mouse. Armstrong is cite to teach a mouse can be either a wireless or a cable connection mouse (see col. 1, line 41). Armstrong further discloses the mouse can be connected to a USB or PS/2 standard connector of the PC (col. 22, lines 31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Smith as modified with the features of the wireless mouse

Art Unit: 2674

and the USB or PS/2 standard connector for a wire connection mouse as taught by

Armstrong because the wireless mouse can provide a convenient way for controlling the

cursor and the USB or PS/2 is a standard connector for the mouse connecting to the PC.

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US Patent No. 6,055,592) in view of Kniazzeh et al (US Patent No. 6,417,911) and Buras, Jr. et al. (US Patent No. 5,559,672) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Ackeret (US Patent No. 4,807,749).

As to claim 12, it is noted Smith, Kniazzeh and Buras do not teach the slider includes a moveable member which is exposed to the outside of the casing body. Ackeret is cited to teach a storage device which include a moveable housing which is exposed to the outside of the casing body so as to be movable in the inserting/ejecting direction of the data storage medium (see Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Smith a modified with the features of the movable housing as taught by Ackeret, so that the user can change the memory medium from outside of the device.

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US Patent No. 6,055,592) in view of Kniazzeh et al (US Patent No. 6,417,911) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Futamura (US Patent No. 5,794,553).

As to claim 18, it is noted that Smith discloses that the memory card for storing alphanumeric data and symbol data but does not disclose that the removable storage medium is usable by a device other than the computer as auxiliary storage for reading and writing data. However, using a memory card such as a flash memory as an auxiliary storage for reading and writing data is well known in the art such as taught by Futamura

Art Unit: 2674

(see Figs. 1 and 3 and col. 8, lines 26-32 of Futamura). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the flash memory as taught by Futamura for the memory card of Smith so that the computer can read the information from the memory card and also write the data to the memory card

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-6 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/25/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Smith does not disclose the data stored in the removable data storage medium bi-directionally between the personal computer and the data storage medium. This argument is not persuasive because Smith clearly discloses that the computer requests card data from a card reader interface and the card reader interface requests card data from a card in the card reader, and preferably, the card data is read from the card by the card reader and supplied to the converter via card reader interface (col. 4, lines 60-67). Thus, the computer is communicated with the removable data storage medium in a bi-directional way. With respect to the newly added limitation of "an auxiliary storage medium", Smith teaches that the card data read from the card in the mouse system matches the card stored in the computer, the access control program grants access to the computer, see col. 6, lines 19-21, in other words the card is an auxiliary storage medium from the computer since it stores data externally from the computer. With respect to the reference to Kniazzeh, applicant argues that Kniazzeh the door 624

Art Unit: 2674

clearly protrudes from the surface of the device. This argument is not persuasive because the door 624 of Kniazzeh would constitute a part of an outer face of case body when the door is in a closed position.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The US Patent No. 6,337,919 is cited to teach a mouse including a flash memory card.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XIAO M. WU whose telephone number is 571 272-7761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK EDOUARD, can be reached on 571 272-7603. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/941,620 Page 8

Art Unit: 2674

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-

9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

X.W.

February 20, 2006

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

XIAO M. WU Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674

K- nh